of antibiotic resistance among human pathogens represents a major threat to public health.

Hervé Agaisse

Department of Microbial Pathogenesis, Yale School of Medicine, Boyer Center for Molecular Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA herve.agaisse@yale.edu

I declare no competing interests.

- Musher DM. Musher BL. Contagious acute gastrointestinal infections. N Engl J Med 2004; 351: 2417–27.
- 2 Kotloff KL, Winickoff JP, Ivanoff B, et al. Global burden of Shigella infections: implications for vaccine development and implementation of control strategies. Bull World Health Organ 1999; 77: 651-66.
- 3 Borg ML, Modi A, Tostmann A, et al. Ongoing outbreak of Shigella flexneri serotype 3a in men who have sex with men in England and Wales, data from 2009–2011. Euro Surveill 2012; 17: 1–5.
- 4 CDC. Shigella sonnei outbreak among men who have sex with men San Francisco, California, 2000–2001. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2001; 50: 922–26.
- 5 US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. http://www. nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/000295.htm (accessed May 12, 2014).

- 6 DuPont HL. Approach to the patient with infectious colitis. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2012; 28: 39–46.
- Gu B, Cao Y, Pan S, et al. Comparison of the prevalence and changing resistance to nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin of Shigella between Europe-America and Asia-Africa from 1998 to 2009. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2012; 40: 9-17.
- 8 Baker KS, Dallman TJ, Ashton PM, et al. Intercontinental dissemination of azithromycin-resistant shigellosis through sexual transmission: a crosssectional study. Lancet Infect Dis 2015; published online April 28. http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)70109-X.
- 9 Barbee LA. Preparing for an era of untreatable gonorrhea. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2014; 27: 282–87.
- 10 Ison CA. Antimicrobial resistance in sexually transmitted infections in the developed world: implications for rational treatment. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2012: 25: 73–78.
- 11 Chang HH, Cohen T, Grad YH, et al. Origin and proliferation of multiple-drug resistance in bacterial pathogens. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2015; 79: 101–16.
- 12 Wright GD. The antibiotic resistome: the nexus of chemical and genetic diversity. Nat Rev Microbiol 2007; 5: 175–86.
- 13 Grad YH, Kirkcaldy RD, Trees D, et al. Genomic epidemiology of Neisseria gonorrhoeae with reduced susceptibility to cefixime in the USA: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Infect Dis 2014; 14: 220–26.

W Herd immunity and the herd severity effect



Published Online May 15, 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ 51473-3099(15)00054-7 See Articles page 922

Vaccination reduces morbidity and mortality by making infections and related diseases less common. A natural conclusion might be that breakthrough cases of vaccinepreventable disease would also become less severe. However, the opposite seems to be true for diseases that are more severe when acquired after childhood, according to the study by Nina Fefferman and Elena Naumova¹ published in The Lancet Infectious Diseases. Their finding is somewhat counterintuitive: higher vaccination rates that approach herd immunity levels mean that fewer people overall get sick, but those who get sick might have much more severe illnesses than in previous generations. As the authors mention, vaccines not only protect those who accept them, but they can also make health outcomes worse for those who do not. The occurence underlying Fefferman and Naumova's model deserves a name, perhaps the herd severity effect.

An antecedent of the herd severity effect is that, as vaccination makes disease less common, it also pushes breakthrough cases to older individuals. Thus, children targeted for vaccination will increasingly be separated by years and decades from people who get sick in their teens or twenties. Many believe that this separation has discouraged uptake of other vaccines. For example, guidelines² call for routine administration of human papillomavirus vaccine to children aged 11 or 12 years, but cervical cancers caused by human papillomavirus

infection generally occur in women aged 40 years or older.³ The long lag between infection and disease means that paediatricians and others who provide adolescent vaccines generally do not treat the patients whose cancers result from chronic human papillomavirus infection. Thus, for both parents and health-care providers, human papillomavirus vaccination might seem less urgent because its benefits are harder to imagine.

The study by Fefferman and Naumova has limitations typical of modelling exercises, including a need for replication in a dataset that does not require assembling best guesses about population variables. Such replications will be expensive and necessitate specialised and perhaps unique data collection systems. Additionally, the herd severity effect is specific to the many diseases, such as rubella and chickenpox, which are more severe when contracted after early childhood. Other limitations of the Fefferman and Naumova analyses include the absence of confidence intervals around estimates, suggesting caution when interpreting the results.

These findings might be a potent tool to motivate hesitant parents to vaccinate their children. Underuse of vaccines is a complex problem with many causes, parent refusal being a commonly cited culprit.⁴ Coverage for most early childhood vaccines in the USA was more than 90% in 2013.⁵ However, a very small

but increasing minority of parents refuse vaccinations for their children.^{6,7} Many parents have never seen the diseases that childhood vaccines protect against precisely because vaccines have made these diseases rare. Therefore, logically, learning that these diseases are worse than previously thought should be a powerful motivator for vaccination, but we believe this is not true.

Clinicians do not engage parents in extensive discussions about many clinical services, including vaccination. A common way to present vaccines is for the clinician to note the child is due for several vaccines, mention that the nurse will give them at the end of the visit, and move on with the clinical encounter. This approach to vaccination communication engenders trust, reduces hesitancy, and encourages vaccination.⁸ A common alternative is to introduce the vaccines, ask if the parent has any concerns, and mention that it is up to the parent to decide whether the child should receive the vaccines. This approach might lead parents to wonder whether anything is wrong with the vaccines.

Wishful thinking by economists notwithstanding, research suggests that people do not naturally keep a ledger for costs and benefits updated in their heads for all the activities of life. People simplify many mental tasks, including medical decisions. Thus, we disagree with the authors' suggestion that "...this evaluation of the costs and benefits of vaccination should become an integral part of the conversation between physicians and parents or patients as they consider the relative risks

of vaccine acceptance".¹The herd severity effect will have an important role in discussions between physicians and patients, but we believe that role is likely to be, and should be, limited. A more appropriate and valuable role might be in modelling the cost effectiveness and likely effect of vaccination.

*Noel T Brewer, Jennifer L Moss

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA ntb@unc.edu

NTB has served on paid advisory boards for or received research grants from Merck, GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, the Food and Drug Administration, and the Centers for Disease Prevention and Control. JLM declares no competing interests.

- Fefferman NH, Naumova EN. Dangers of vaccine refusal near the herd immunity threshold: a modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis 2015; published online May 15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00053-5.
- Petrosky E, Bocchini JA, Hariri S, et al. Use of 9-valent human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine: updated HPV vaccination recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices. MMWR 2015; 64: 300-04.
- 3 Gustafsson L, Ponten J, Zack M, Adami HO. International incidence rates of invasive cervical cancer after introduction of cytological screening. Cancer Causes Control 1997; 8: 755–63.
- 4 Sadaf A, Richards JL, Glanz J, Salmon DA, Omer SB. A systematic review of interventions for reducing parental vaccine refusal and vaccine hesitancy. Vaccine 2013; 31: 4293–04.
- 5 Elam-Evans LD, Yankey D, Singleton JA, Kolasa M. National, state, and selected local area vaccination coverage among children aged 19-35 months—United States, 2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014; 63: 741-48.
- 6 Diekema DS. Improving childhood vaccination rates. N Engl J Med 2012; 366: 391–93.
- 7 Gilkey MB, McRee AL, Brewer NT. Forgone vaccination during childhood and adolescence: findings of a statewide survey of parents. Prev Med 2013; 56: 202-06.
- 8 Opel DJ, Heritage J, Taylor JA, et al. The architecture of provider-parent vaccine discussions at health supervision visits. *Pediatrics* 2013; 132: 1037–46.
- Tversky A, Kahneman D. Judgment under uncertainty: heuristics and biases. Science 1974; 185: 1124-31.

Geostatistical modelling of schistosomiasis prevalence



Around 90% of all schistosomiasis cases are in sub-Saharan Africa,¹ but transmission is focal. Knowledge of the exact locations of these cases, therefore, is important for the efficient control of the parasites. In The Lancet Infectious Diseases, Ying-Si Lai and colleagues² describe a Bayesian geostatistical method that draws on parasitological surveys and sociodemographic and environmental data to construct maps predicting the risk of infection with Schistosoma mansoni and Schistosoma haematobium in sub-Saharan Africa and Madagascar. The estimates are calculated spatially, by the application of a grid of 5×5 km squares, and temporally by grouping studies done before 1980,

between 1980 and 1999, and during or after 2000. Clearly, this approach is very useful to help direct schistosomiasis surveillance and control through preventive chemotherapy with the drug praziquantel.³ Ideally, though, preventive chemotherapy should be complemented with behavioural and environmental interventions, such as the prevention of swimming in open water, construction of safe swimming pools,⁴ provision of suitable safe water supplies for drinking, bathing, washing and adequate sanitation,^{5,6} appropriate management of irrigation systems,⁷ and, perhaps, use of molluscicides to kill intermediate host snails.⁸

Published Online
May 22, 2015
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/
S1473-3099(15)00067-5
See Articles page 927